October 29, 1931.

Mrs. Mary Ferguson Duffy,
205 Third Avenue,
Haddon Heights, N. J.

My dear Mrs. Duffy:

I hope you will pardon this typewritten letter. May I express to you my joy in receiving your letter of last Friday. I so well remember your wedding, one of the happiest I ever knew and I always cherish your family in such high esteem. I saw your father some two years ago at Atlantic City but did not see Margaret.

Our plans for November 15th are quite vague at present. Mrs. Robinson is to arrive in the city today. My expectation is that one or both of us will go to Camden on the morning of the 15th and Mr. Kerr will have someone meet us there. I will set the hour definitely later. Of course it would be just the pleasantest thing in the world to go to your home. We would return that evening.

Please remember me to your household and believe me when I say that we cherish you in special regard and likewise your husband and family.

Yours very sincerely,

WCR*E

205 Third Ave.,
Haddonfield, N. J.

Dear Dr & Mrs Robinson

We were delighted
to hear that you expect to
be in our town Nov. 15th.
when Mr Kerr told me,
I asked if we might
have the pleasure of
having you here for
dinner that day.

Ida want you to see our lovely daughter and just how happy we are.

Father is quite well and a wonder for his 87 years. Margaret & he are still at the shore and has spent the summer with them.

Hoping for a favorable reply and with our best regards, love

Cordially yours,

Mary Ferguson Duffy

Friday

October 21, 1931.

Rev. M. W. Doggett, D.D.,
Montreat,
North Carolina.

Dear Dr. Doggett:

As intimated previously, I think your manuscript is well worth printing. However, we of THE PRESBYTERIAN are greatly limited for space and I am not at all sure that we could print it. We only have a few pages to use and being a weekly family paper we have to have some variety. Your production would fill up our paper for months, as we must print news, contributions, editorials and other things. I would gladly do anything in my power for on my own judgment and that of my son I am confident that it should have wide publicity. Perhaps you are better able to find a place for it than am I. I await your further suggestion.

Most sincerely yours,

WCR*E

Montreat N.C. October 18th 1931.

Rev.W.Courtland Robinson,D.D.
Philadelphia,Pa.,

Dear Dr.Robinson:

Your letter bearing date of October 14th has been received. I thank you for the enclosure from your son. I appreciate very much the fact that he esteems the production worthy of publication.

I know of no better way of giving this theory a first introduction to the reading public other than through the columns of your excellent paper. I will therefore greatly appreciate it if you can find space for it.

Now will you kindly tell me what I can further do. I will be glad to have it copied eliminating marks of emphasis as far as possible,and then give uniformity to what remains. Or,better, could you employ some one to do that under your own directions and let me pay the bill. Use the enclosed self addressed envelope in advising me. Especially let me know about what the cost will be.

I warmly appreciate your son's pleasant commendation of the monograph as a whole. I with equal warmth appreciate his suggestions and will profit by them. I have had very little experience in the art of printing and therefore make no pretentions along that line. I would prefor leaving all the mechanical features to yourself and your printer.

I am yours very truly,

W. W. Doggett

October 20, 1931.

The Misses Deal,
2235 Georges Lane,
Wynnefield, Phila.

My dear Friends:

It is with a great deal of reluctance that I write this letter which I am having put in type in order that you may find it more legible. I have had a very pleasant acquaintance with you and as you probably recall, I have held prayer meetings in your home.

I am now writing on the very disagreeable subject of money. I have been told that no one can get money without personal interview but there are two reasons which hinder me from visiting you in person. In the first place, I am not by my contract responsible for the financial welfare of THE PRESBYTERIAN. I was hired here as editor and it was distinctly stated that I should have nothing to do with the business part. However, the directors are busy men and elsewhere and have not met for a long time and moreover they have felt the pressure very much indeed. My second reason is that I have no desire to impose my personal acquaintance upon you. My cause must stand upon its own merit else I would not have you consider it at all. I know nothing as to how much you have suffered during these perplexing times but I know you are fair, generous and devoted and your minds and hearts are enlisted.

THE PRESBYTERIAN, as you know, has been continuously printed for over a hundred years. Those facts were stated in a letter sent to you previously. It has had periods of great financial depression and there has never been a year in the last thirty in which some generous Christian has not given it financial support. Mrs. Thaw gave $5,000.00 a year for many years. Mrs. Benedict who became Mrs. Manson gave generously. There were other persons who gave amounts from five hundred to three or four thousand. This was done year after year. Those persons are, I think, all dead and their estates cannot help us. Of late, H. P. Crowell, a very earnest conservative and William A. Harbison, formerly of Pittsburgh, now of New York, have given thousands of dollars. Dr. Craig demanded and received

from Mr. Harbison $10,000.00 when he retired from the editorship. Since that time, both Mr. Crowell and Mr. Harbison have given several thousand and a few others have given small amounts. I sent out some letters of which I think you received one and we had replies in small amounts. Mrs. Craig N. Ligget sent us most of anyone.

The situation is simply this, we owe a very large bill for paper amounting to $2,000.00 which increases about $150.00 a week. We owe a large bill for rent. I have not received my salary and there are incidental expenses which now amount to probably $3500.00. We have assets of far more value but the directors have not gone to the bank and borrowed. They have wisely thought it better not so to do. The paper men are pressing us very hard and our fear in the office is that they may refuse to send us paper which would of course prevent issuing THE PRESBYTERIAN. I do not know how close that peril is but it causes us some anxiety. Mr. Harbison knows of the situation and I am positive that whenever he can adjust his affairs he will meet it for he has been exceedingly generous. Mr. Crowell likewise has been open-handed but the trouble is we do not know when they can do so and our emergency is immediate.

THE PRESBYTERIAN has been constantly conservative as I think you well know. Whatever the issues which led to the retirement of Dr. Craig, I had nothing whatever to do with them as Dr. Craig wrote me and as was stated at the time. In fact, I was not wholly in sympathy with that action. Dr. Craig has been very kind to me personally although he naturally feels that the directors made a mistake. I am not sure about that. Subscribers were being lost very rapidly and this continued for two months after I came here but we have in the main checked it. Most of the friends of Dr. Craig including himself have rated us as soundly conservative. I have not been as vehement as some others for it is not my nature and I have a conviction that fighting a person rather than contending for an issue injures one's cause. That is my idea which may or may not be correct.

However, THE PRESBYTERIAN remains the only weekly, conservative paper in our Church. There would be tremendous rejoicing in liberal circles if we were compelled to suspend and I think it is imperative that such a calamity be avoided. Let us forget the differences and

idiosyncrasies of the present editor and think only of the old paper. It has wrought a good work for the conservative cause and will do a good work in the future if it can sustain this present gale. My own connection with it will not be very long. I hope that no one will get diverted from THE PRESBYTERIAN to thinking of the editor, for he is simply an incident.

Of course, all this is an appeal to you that you will lend us some assistance if you find it possible. I am sure of your kindly feeling toward me personally for I have had evidence. I know you are devoted to the conservative cause. I do not know your financial situation and would in no wise presume upon it. I do feel that we are at a crisis else I would not write and I assure you it is to me the last attempt. Unless we have some money within ten days I think THE PRESBYTERIAN may not be printed. I hope the good Lord has led me to say the right thing and I know that you will act according to your conscientious conviction.

Most sincerely yours,

WCR*E

JOHN WELSH DULLES
140 WEST HIGHLAND AVENUE
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Oct. 16. 1931

Dear Dr. Robinson

I enclose diagram of the Ship Bowditch, the passengers were all missionaries, their names were Rev, Mr. Mills and Wife, Rev, Mr Noyes, and Wife, Rev. Mr Day, Rev. Mr. Jewet and Wife, Rev. John W. Dulles and Wife, Mrs Miron Winslow, and child her husband Rev. Dr. Winslow was then in India and she was to join him, shewas the step mother of Mrs. Dulles, Rev. Mr Burnet, Wife and child.

Yours sincerely

John Welsh Dulles

Please accept my thanks for the prompt return of my papers.

J.W.D.

October 14, 1931.

Rev. M. W. Doggett, D.D.,
Box 84,
Montreat, N. C.

Dear Dr. Doggett:

After this long time I am able to write you concerning the manuscript which you very kindly sent to me. I submitted the matter to my son who is far more competent than I. He has sent me the enclosed note which I think you can look upon as the expression of an expert. Perhaps, you will tell me what you want me to do with it.

Yours very sincerely,

WCR*E

September 30, 1931.

Miss Helen M. Davis,
Delhi, N. Y.

My dear Helen:

Enclosed find check for $5.00 which I intended to give to you but failed. This is for the missionary tea which Mrs. Robinson was unable to attend. Later, I thought it probably should have been made to the order of Margaret Doig but you can just endorse it over to her.

I hope you and your family are all well.

Most sincerely yours,

September 30, 1931.

Mr. H. A. Davis,
314 Euclid Avenue,
Ambler, Pa.

Dear Mr. Davis:

Of course, the statement about the population of the world was simply approximate. The number is not important. I have not looked it up of late but the estimated population is given in the World Almanac.

Yours very sincerely,

September 30, 1931.

Miss Elizabeth Hamill Davis,
4716 Cedar Avenue,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Miss Davis:

Your letter to Dr. Edward B. Hodge was forwarded to me. It is now nearly a month since you wrote it. I have been absent part of the time. I regret exceedingly that we have no place where we can place you. Owing to the pressure of the times we are reducing our force to the smallest number and are having our difficulties in carrying on. Otherwise, I should be very happy to consider you as you request.

Very sincerely yours,

314 EUCLID AVENUE
AMBLER
PENNSYLVANIA

9/29/31

Editor of Publication
Franklin Institute

In your issue 9/17/31 Vol 101
No 38 1st page Ed "Guiding the Lions"
beginning at bottom 1st column
"He saw a statement the other day that
the world has 7 billion persons all under
sentence to die. That is true. But" now
I have your meaning as to it to setting last
I made the statement based on the
writing that the estimated population
of the earth & world was 7 billions and
I was told by all means that it
was 1/2 billion some 20 yrs ago. Kindly
straighten me out. Thank you

Yours truly

F A Bates

4716 Cedar Avenue,
Philadelphia (west)
September 1st, 1931.

Dr. Edward D. Hodge,
2019 Spruce Street,
Philadelphia.

My Dear Dr. Hodge:

As one of the Directors of The Presbyterian Publishing Company, I am taking the liberty of writing to you personally to ask if you could possibly find a niche for me somewhere there, where I could serve them well and faithfully as stenographer-secretary, typist, or file clerk, etc.,

After eleven years of faithful service in the Bureau of Health, I was, last January, like many others, deprived of my position there, on account of lack of funds. Nevertheless, I should not have been! Great pressure was then brought to bear upon the Mayor to have me reinstated. My kind, good friend, Dr. Russell Duane, wrote him a personal letter in my behalf, as did also my beloved brother, of Salem, Mass., to both of which Mr. Mackey paid no attention! Then my committeemen (two of them gentlemen who were conversant with the situation, and therefore entirely in sympathy with me), approached this Mayor, requesting my reinstatement - which he curtly refused! Briefly, I have felt myself an

innocent victim of most unrighteousness on the part of those responsible for the act - "unbeautiful politics"! Yet, after the World War, upon my return from doing my part in the War Department at Washington, I had thought it would be very fine to work for my City! I therefore took the Civil Service examinations for that purpose. The past years have brought their own disillusionment! Nevertheless, I had been interested in my work, and the doing of it as well and faithfully as possible, my position was my bread and butter - and had the least honor and justice prevailed in the place, I should have been there still!

As the daughter of a Presbyterian Minister, also a lineal descendant of one of the signers of the Declaration of Independence, I have naturally some very splendid good friends, whose interest, sympathy, and encouragement I deeply appreciate in these days of anxiety and trial. I feel that I can never repay Mr. Duane for the many kindnesses he has done for me ever since I first met him when my Uncle, the late Gen. Charles L. Davis, was then the President of the Society of the Descendants of the Signers.

Dr. Charles R. Erdman, of Princeton, has also been most wonderfully good and kind, and interested in a bit of literary work I have accomplished, apart from my business position. Perhaps you may have seen "A Calendar of Prayers For Each Day", which the Dodge Publishing Company of New York issued last Fall in quite a beautiful form as an addition to their fine collection of Art Calendars. They asked me to compile and edit it for them, taking as its foundation the text of a book of prayers, also compiled by myself years ago, and which they are still publishing.

As a fellow-member of your own noble profession, I might also add that Dr. John H. Girvin is my personal physician. As he knows me quite well, and my family connections, should you chance to see him at any time, I would be very glad if you cared to ask him about myself.

If not with the Presbyterian Company, you should learn of any other good opening for an experienced, efficient, educated and cultured secretary-stenographer, would you be good enough to let me be informed of such an opportunity? I would appreciate your doing so ever, ever so much, and at the same time I trust you will forgive me for this long letter!

Earnestly hoping that it may be in your power to do something for me in this matter, I am

Very sincerely,

Elizabeth Hamill Davis

September 15, 1931.

Mr. John Welsh Dulles,
140 W. Highland Ave.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

I regret very much that your letter on Overture A is already printed and is about to go forth. I am sorry but there seems to be no way to change it.

Yours very sincerely,

JOHN WELSH DULLES
140 WEST HIGHLAND AVENUE
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Sept. 14. 1931

Dear Dr. Robinson

If you had thought of print-
ing my comments upon the new
Overtures, please hold them.
The Committee on Bills and
Overtures of the Presbytery of Phila-
delphia North, of which I am a member
wishes to decide, action for a
special reason.

Yours sincerely
John Welsh Dulles

Montreat N.C. August 31st 1931

Rev.W.Courtland Robinson,D.D.
Philadelphia,Pa.,

Dear Dr.Robinson:

I was out of town last week. On my return I found your greatly appreciated letter on my desk. I thank you for it.

The popular reaction toward the theory contained in the articles I sent you is the main thing I am after in asking you to publish them. I ask nothing more. That possession might enable me to make some last minute changes or additions that would be of worth. I will appreciate any help you may give me in broadcasting the subject matter contained in those articles.

Thanking you for your kindness in taking this matter under serious consideration,

I am yours very truly,

M. W. Doggett

Address:
Rev.M.W.Doggett,D.D.
Montreat,N.C.
Box 84.

JOHN WELSH DULLES
140 WEST HIGHLAND AVENUE
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

September 3. 1931

Rev. W. Courtland Robinson, D.D.
Editor The Presbyterian
1217 Market St.
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Dr. Robinson:-

Allow me to congratulate you upon the short editorial on the "encyclical" of Dr. McDowell, it was a well deserved rebuke, well expressed, As a matter of fact dividends have been reduced, perhaps the good Doctor does not realize that dividends are wages paid for services rendered, and that many persons are not in the positions held by our Boards, who have securities which they are holding for advancement, many persons are selling securities at low prices to pay for food and shelter as a necessity for living.

Now comes a paper from the Federal Council of Churches.

Is there no way to prevent these pontifical outbursts?

As business papers are they supposed to rank high?

Yours sincerely

John Welsh Dulles

August 24, 1931.

Rev. M. W. Doggett,

Box 84,

Montreat, N. C.

Dear Dr. Doggett:

I have your letter and your manuscript. I shall take great pleasure in reading the manuscript. I am not sure just what you wish. It is impossible for us to make payment of money for contributions however valuable they are. Like others, we are having a very severe time just now but I will read with great interest in the hope of printing some or all of the articles.

Yours very sincerely,

Montreat N.C.August 20th 1931

Rev.Courtland W Robinson,D.D.
Philadelphia,Pa.,

Dear Dr.Robinson:

I am mailing to you under separate cover a series of articles entitled "The Tragedy Of Sin". I am mailing to you the entire series that you may see the scope of the whole, as well as the relationship which each individual article bears to the whole. I will appreciate it very much if you can see your way clear to allow four or five,or more,of these articles to appear in your excellent paper. This at any time you can make room for them. In case you can use any of this matter I would suggest articles 1,2 5 7,8,9.

Some of my friends who have read these papers urge me to have them printed in book form; That I hope to be able to do at some time.

Rev.Walter L.Lingle,D.D.,LL.D.,President of Davidson College,Davidson,N.C., read this this entire Manuscript and warmly approved it and urged that it should be made public. You might confer with him and get his estimate of it.

I will greatly appreciate any advise you may have to offer in the matter of giving publicity to the theory set forth in this discussion. I would also appreciate from you your own attitude toward it.

Thanking you in advance for your interest and kindness,

I am yours very truly,

M. W. Doggett

Address:
Rev.M.W.Doggett,D.D.
Montreat N.C. Box 84.

August 21, 1931.

Mr. W. C. Dickson,
756 Grace Street,
Williamsport, Pa.

Dear Mr. Dickson:

There is no one in any wise connected with THE PRESBYTERIAN who ever signed or approved the Auburn Affirmation. I think I have stated this in print and I am willing to state to you that we are opposed to its whole spirit.

Yours very truly,

756 Grace St
Williamsport Pa
8/19/31

Rev'd Doctor Robertson

May I ask you Sir kindly
to inform me if any of your
Board of the Presbyterian here
signed the Auburn Statement
or Confession?

Thank you for the good
things in the Presbyterian!

Also thanking you for kind
answer in anticipation

Yours in the work
W. E. Dickson

(Stamped envelope enclosed) (Over)

There is a grand rewards for those proclaiming these truths.

"Who is on the Lord's side

August 18, 1931.

Mr. John Welsh Dulles,
140 W. Highland Avenue,
Chestnut Hill, Phila.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

I am glad to hear from you again. I agree with you as to the office of elder. I think I shall print your letter or at least part of it.

Very sincerely yours,